arXiv:1611.04719v1 [nlin.SI] 15 Nov 2016

On a Second Discretization of the ZS-AKNS Spectral Problem: Revisit

Kui Chen, Xiao Deng, Da-jun Zhang*
Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, P.R. China

November 16, 2016

Abstract

In this paper we revisit a discrete spectral problem which was proposed by Ragnisco and Tu in 1989, as a second discretization of the ZS-AKNS spectral problem. We show that the spectral problem corresponds to a bidirectional discretization of the derivative of two wave functions $\phi_{1,x}$ and $\phi_{2,x}$. As a connection with higher dimensional systems, the spectral problem and a related hierarchy can be derived from Lax triads of the differential-difference KP hierarchy via a symmetry constraint. Isospectral and nonisospectral flows derived from the spectral problem compose a Lie algebra. By considering its infinite dimensional subalgebras and continuum limit of recursion operator, three semi-discrete AKNS hierarchies are constructed. We also prove that the isospectral hierarchies admit nonlocal one-field reductions $R_{n+j}(t) = \pm Q^*_{n-j}(t)$ and $R_{n+j}(t) = \pm Q_{n-j}(-t)$. Several integrable scalar equations are listed.

Keywords: ZS-AKNS spectral problem, gauge transformation, symmetry constraint, differential-difference KP equation, nonlocal one-field reductions
MSC (2010): 35Q51, 37K60

1 Introduction

It is well known that the fundamental ZS-AKNS spectral problem[1, 2]

$$\Phi_x = \begin{pmatrix} \eta & q \\ r & -\eta \end{pmatrix} \Phi, \quad \Phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2)^T \tag{1.1}$$

has a discretization given by Ablowitz and Ladik [3, 4]:

$$\Phi_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & Q_n \\ R_n & 1/\lambda \end{pmatrix} \Phi_n, \quad \Phi_n = (\phi_{1,n}, \phi_{2,n})^T, \tag{1.2}$$

which bears their names and is called the Ablowitz-Ladik (AL) spectral problem. Here f_n stands for a function $f(n,t)$ defined on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{C}$. (1.2) leads to a semidiscrete AKNS (sdAKNS) hierarchy through suitably combining the AL flows, and one-field reductions yield the semidiscrete KdV, modified KdV and nonlinear Schrödinger hierarchies (cf.[5–7]). In 1989 Ragnisco and Tu proposed a discrete spectral problem[8]

$$\Theta_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 + Q_n R_n & Q_n \\ R_n & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Theta_n, \quad \Theta_n = (\theta_{1,n}, \theta_{2,n})^T, \tag{1.3}$$

*Corresponding author: djzhang@staff.shu.edu.cn

which was then studied in [9, 10]. (1.3) leads to a hierarchy of semidiscrete equations which recover the AKNS hierarchy in continuum limit but one-field reduction was not available [10].

In this paper, we revisit the discrete spectral problem (1.3). It will be shown that (1.3) is gauge equivalent to the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1,n+1} \\ \phi_{2,n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & Q_n \\ -R_n & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1,n} \\ \phi_{2,n} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.4}$$

Compared with the AL spectral problem (1.2), the above one is obtained by discretizing the first order derivatives of wave functions $(\phi_1, \phi_2)^T$ in (1.1) in bidirection, i.e.

$$\phi_{1,x} \sim \frac{\phi_{1,n+1} - \phi_{1,n}}{\epsilon}, \quad \phi_{2,x} \sim \frac{\phi_{2,n} - \phi_{1,n-1}}{\epsilon}. \tag{1.5}$$

Both (1.2) and (1.3) recover the AKNS spectral problem in continuum limit by defining

$$\Phi(n+j) = \Phi(x + j\epsilon), \quad (Q_n, R_n) = \epsilon(q, r), \quad \lambda = e^{\epsilon\eta},$$

and taking $\epsilon \to 0$.

Besides bidirectional discretization of (1.1), the discrete spectral problem (1.3) or (1.4) is interesting in two more aspects. One is that (1.3) is related to a symmetry constraint of the differential-difference Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) equation. This fact demonstrates a link between (1+1)-dimensional and (2+1)-dimensional semidiscrete integrable systems. The other is that, as a spectral problem, (1.3) is a Darboux transformation of the AKNS hierarchy. Note that a Darboux transformation can act as a discrete spectral problem to generate semidiscrete and fully discrete integrable systems. Let us give more details in the following.

It is well known that in continuous case the AKNS hierarchy can be viewed as a symmetry constraint of the Lax pairs of the KP hierarchy [11–14]. The differential-difference KP ($\mathrm{D}^2\Delta$KP) equation[1] reads [15]

$$\Delta\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t_2} + 2\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - 2u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) = (2+\Delta)\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}, \tag{1.6}$$

which is related to the spectral problem [16]

$$\mathfrak{L}\varphi_n = \xi\varphi_n, \quad \mathfrak{L} = \Delta + u_{0,n} + u_{1,n}\Delta^{-1} + u_{2,n}\Delta^{-2} + \cdots, \tag{1.7}$$

where $\Delta = E - 1$, $Ef_n = f_{n+1}$, $\mathfrak{L}$ is called a pseudo-difference operator and in (1.6) $u = u_{0,n}$. In this paper we will show that by a symmetry constraint the spectral problem (1.7) leads to a spectral problem which is gauge-equivalent to (1.3) and the Lax triads of the $\mathrm{D}^2\Delta$KP hierarchy yields a sdAKNS hierarchy. This link will be explained in detail in Sec.3.

It is also well known that a Darboux transformation $\widetilde{\Phi} = D(u, \widetilde{u}, \lambda)\Phi$ of a continuous spectral problem $\Phi_x = M(u, \eta)\Phi$, where $D(u, \widetilde{u}, \lambda)$ is a Darboux matrix with parameter λ and $\widetilde{\Phi}$ and $\widetilde{u}$ stand for new eigenfunction and potential corresponding to λ, can act as a discrete spectral problem (by considering $\widetilde{\Phi} = \Phi_{n+1}$ and $\widetilde{u} = u_{n+1}$)

$$\Phi_{n+1} = D(u_n, u_{n+1}, \lambda)\Phi_n \tag{1.8}$$

[1] $\mathrm{D}^2\Delta$ indicates 2 continuous and 1 discrete independent variables.

to generate semidiscrete integrable systems as a compatible condition with $\Phi_x = M(u,\eta)\Phi$ [17, 18]. Moreover, Darboux transformations with different parameters, say

$$\widetilde{\Phi} = D(u,\widetilde{u},\lambda_1)\Phi, \quad \widehat{\Phi} = D(u,\widehat{u},\lambda_2)\Phi$$

can be used as a Lax pair to generate fully discrete integrable systems. As examples one can refer to [19–22]. In [23] the spectral problem (1.3) was studied as a Darboux transformation of the ZS-AKNS spectral problem (1.1) (with $\lambda^2 = 2(\eta-\gamma)$). It is natural that the semidiscrete equations generated from a Darboux transformation (as a discrete spectral problem) are related via suitable continuum limit to the original continuous spectral problem.

In this paper, as new results we mainly achieve the following:

- find that the discrete spectral problem (1.3) is (gauge) equivalent to (1.4) which is a bidirectional discretization of the ZS-AKNS spectral problem (1.1);
- build connection between the spectral problem (1.3) and pseudo-difference operator spectral problem (1.7) via a symmetry constraint of the $\mathrm{D}^2\Delta$KP equation, as well as a connection between a sdAKNS hierarchy and the Lax triads of the $\mathrm{D}^2\Delta$KP hierarchy;
- obtain three sdAKNS hierarchies that are different from those derived from the AL spectral problem (1.2);
- prove nonlocal one-field reductions of these (isospectral) sdAKNS hierarchies and obtain semidiscrete scalar nonlinear integrable equations.

The paper is organized as follows. Sec.2 contains necessary notions and notations. In Sec.3 we show connections between (1.3), (1.4) and (1.7), and in Sec.4 we derive (1.3) and a sdAKNS hierarchy from the Lax triads of the $\mathrm{D}^2\Delta$KP hierarchy. In Sec.5 we discuss possible sdAKNS hierarchies related to (1.3) and nonlocal one-field reductions. Sec.6 is for conclusions and discussions. There is one Appendix which, as a comparison, gives the sdAKNS hierarchies derived from the AL spectral problem.

2 Basic notions

Let us shortly describe some notions and notations that we will use in the paper. (We mainly follow [24, 25]).

For functions Q_n and R_n defined on $\mathbb{Z}$ and vanishing rapidly as $n \to \pm\infty$, let $U_n \doteq (Q_n, R_n)^T$. Consider a differential-difference evolution equation

$$U_{n,t} = K(U_n), \quad U_n \in \mathcal{M}, \tag{2.1}$$

where by $\mathcal{M}$ we denote the infinite dimensional linear manifold of functions U_n. The solution $U_n = U(n,t)$ is usually asked to depend in a $\mathbb{C}^\infty$-way on the time parameter t. Let S be the fiber of the tangent bundle $T\mathcal{M}$ at any point $U_n \in M$. In principle there is an identification between the linear spaces $\mathcal{M}$ and S, but it is convenient to regard them as different objects for a better geometrical understanding (i.e. $\mathcal{M}$ is the manifold under examination, S is the tangent space at any point $U_n \in \mathcal{M}$). Let S^* be the dual space of S w.r.t. the bilinear form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle : S^* \times S \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$\langle f_n, g_n\rangle = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} f_n g_n, \quad f_n \in S^*, g_n \in S. \tag{2.2}$$

The Gâteaux derivative of a function (or an operator or a functional) $F(U_n)$ on $\mathcal{M}$ in the direction $g_n \in S$ is defined as

$$F'[g_n] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} F(U_n + \varepsilon g_n)\Big|_{\varepsilon=0}, \quad U_n \in \mathcal{M}, g_n \in S. \tag{2.3}$$

The above definition is valid as well for the case $F = F(U_n, t)$, where F depends explicitly on the time parameter t and we treat U_n and t as independent variables (cf. [25]). For the sake of a more generic sense, in the following definitions are given for the time-dependent cases. They are valid as well when we remove the independent time variable t.

For two vector fields $F(U_n, t), G(U_n, t) : \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R} \to S$, their standard commutator is defined as

$$[\![F, G]\!] = F'[G] - G'[F]. \tag{2.4}$$

Vector field $G(U_n, t) : \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R} \to S$ is called a symmetry of equation (2.1) if

$$\partial_t G(U_n, t) + [\![G(U_n, t), K(U_n)]\!] = 0 \tag{2.5}$$

holds everywhere in $\mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}$.

A linear operator $L(U_n, t) : S \to S$ is called a strong symmetry operator of equation (2.1) if

$$\partial_t L + L'[K] = [K', L] \tag{2.6}$$

holds everywhere on $\mathcal{M}$, where $[A, B] = AB - BA$. A linear operator $L(U_n, t) : S \to S$ is called to be hereditary (or a hereditary operator) if

$$L'[LF]G - L'[LG]F = L(L'[F]G - L'[G]F), \quad \forall F, G \in S. \tag{2.7}$$

If L is a hereditary operator, so is L^{-1}. If L is a hereditary operator and is a strong symmetry of equation (2.1), then L is also a strong symmetry of equation $U_{n,t} = L\,K(U_n)$.

3 Alternative forms to (1.3)

In this section we will list out some spectral problems which are gauge equivalent to the spectral problem (1.3).

In addition to (1.3) and (1.4), we list the following spectral problems

$$\Phi_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & Q_n \\ R_{n+1} & (1 + Q_n R_{n+1})/\lambda \end{pmatrix} \Phi_n, \quad \Phi_n = (\phi_{1,n}, \phi_{2,n})^T, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\Psi_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 & Q_n \\ \lambda^2 R_{n+1} & 1 + Q_n R_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} \Psi_n, \quad \Psi_n = (\psi_{1,n}, \psi_{2,n})^T, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\Sigma_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & Q_n \\ R_{n+1}/\lambda^2 & (1 + Q_n R_{n+1})/\lambda^2 \end{pmatrix} \Sigma_n, \quad \Sigma_n = (\sigma_{1,n}, \sigma_{2,n})^T, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\Pi_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 & \lambda Q_n \\ \lambda R_{n+1} & 1 + Q_n R_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} \Pi_n, \quad \Pi_n = (\pi_{1,n}, \pi_{2,n})^T, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1,n+1} \\ \psi_{2,n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 & Q_n \\ -R_n & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1,n} \\ \psi_{2,n} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi_n = \xi\varphi_n, \quad \mathcal{L} = \Delta - Q_n R_n - Q_n \Delta^{-1} R_n. \tag{3.6}$$

They are related to each other as in the following diagram.



Fig.1 Relations of eight spectral problems

Here are the gauge transformations

$$\mathrm{GT}_1: \quad \Phi_n = T_1 \Psi_n, \quad T_1 = \lambda^{-n} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.7a}$$

$$\mathrm{GT}_2: \quad \Psi_n = T_2 \Theta_n, \quad T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ R_n & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.7b}$$

$$\mathrm{GT}_3: \quad \Phi_n = T_3 \Sigma_n, \quad T_3 = T_1^{-1}, \tag{3.7c}$$

$$\mathrm{GT}_4: \quad \Psi_n = T_4 \Pi_n, \quad T_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.7d}$$

Theorem 3.1. *The spectral problem* (1.3) *is (gauge) equivalent to* (1.4) *which is a bidirectional discretization of the ZS-AKNS spectral problem* (1.1).

Note that after the early work [9, 10], the spectral problem (1.3) has been reinvestigated in different forms (for example, (3.1) in [26, 27], (3.2) in [28], (3.3) in [29], (3.4) in [30–32]), (3.6) in [33], etc.). However, since in these gauge transformations GT_i only eigenfunctions are involved (without any changes of potentials), the evolution equations derived from all the spectral problems listed in Fig.1 are the same (up to some combinations of flows). In fact, for two evolution equations which are derived respectively as compatibilities of linear problems

$$\Phi_{n+1} = M_n(u_n, \lambda)\Phi_n, \quad \Phi_{n,t} = N_n \Phi_n, \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\Psi_{n+1} = U_n(u_n, \lambda)\Psi_n, \quad \Psi_{n,t} = V_n \Psi_n, \tag{3.9}$$

if they are gauge equivalent via transformation $\Phi_n = T_n \Psi_n$, then there are relations

$$M_n = T_{n+1} U_n T_n^{-1}, \quad N_n = T_{n,t} T_n^{-1} + T_n V_n T_n^{-1},$$

and consequently their compatibilities are related by

$$M_{n,t} - N_{n+1} M_n + M_n N_n = T_{n+1}(U_{n,t_m} - V_{n+1} U_n + U_n V_n) T_n^{-1},$$

which means the two equations derived from (3.8) and (3.9) as compatibilities are same.

4 A symmetry constraint of the D^2ΔKP equation

In this section we investigate in detail a symmetry constraint of the D^2ΔKP equation (1.6), by which we reduce (1.7) to (3.6) and generate a sdAKNS hierarchy as well.

4.1 Spectral problem (3.6) as a symmetry constraint of (1.7)

Spectral problem (3.6) is connected with (1.7) through a symmetry constraint of the D^2ΔKP equation (1.6). To explain this, let us start from Lax triad of the D^2ΔKP equation [34]. Consider the pseudo-difference operator $\mathfrak{L}$ defined in (1.7), i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{L} = \Delta + u_{0,n} + u_{1,n}\Delta^{-1} + u_{2,n}\Delta^{-2} + \cdots, \tag{4.1}$$

where $u_{i,n} = u_i(n, x, \mathbf{t})$ and $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_2, \cdots)$. The difference operator Δ obeys the discrete Leibniz rule

$$\Delta^s g(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{C}_s^i (\Delta^i g(n+s-i))\Delta^{s-i}, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$\mathrm{C}_s^i = \frac{s(s-1)(s-2)\cdots(s-i+1)}{i!}, \quad \mathrm{C}_0^0 = 1. \tag{4.3}$$

The one-field D^2ΔKP hierarchy can be derived from the following Lax triad [34],

$$\mathfrak{L}\varphi_n = \xi\varphi_n, \tag{4.4a}$$
$$\varphi_{n,x} = A_1\varphi_n, \quad A_1 = \Delta + u_{0,n}, \tag{4.4b}$$
$$\varphi_{n,t_j} = A_j\varphi_n, \quad (j = 1, 2, \cdots), \tag{4.4c}$$

where $A_j = (\mathfrak{L}^j)_+$ denotes the difference part of $\mathfrak{L}^j$, the first two of which are

$$A_1 = \Delta + u_{0,n}, \tag{4.5a}$$
$$A_2 = \Delta^2 + ((\Delta u_{0,n}) + 2u_{0,n})\Delta + (\Delta u_{0,n}) + u_{0,n}^2 + (\Delta u_{1,n}) + 2u_{1,n}. \tag{4.5b}$$

Compatibility of (4.4) reads

$$\mathfrak{L}_x = [A_1, \mathfrak{L}], \tag{4.6a}$$
$$\mathfrak{L}_{t_j} = [A_j, \mathfrak{L}], \quad (j = 1, 2, \cdots), \tag{4.6b}$$
$$A_{1,t_j} - A_{j,x} + [A_1, A_j] = 0, \quad (j = 1, 2, \cdots), \tag{4.6c}$$

where $[A, B] = AB - BA$. Among (4.6), the first equation (4.6a) provides expressions of $u_{j,n}$ in terms of $u_{0,n}$, which are

$$\Delta u_{1,n} = u_{0,n,x}, \tag{4.7a}$$
$$\Delta u_{k+1,n} = u_{k,n,x} - \Delta u_{k,n} - u_{0,n}u_{k,n} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j \mathrm{C}_{k-1}^j u_{k-j,n}\Delta^j u_{0,n-k}, \quad k \geq 1. \tag{4.7b}$$

Besides, (4.6c), written as

$$u_{0,n,t_j} = \mathcal{K}_j = A_{j,x} - [A_1, A_j], \quad (j = 1, 2, \cdots), \tag{4.8}$$

provides zero curvature representations of a hierarchy of the one-field D^2ΔKP equation if substituting $u_{j,n}$ with $u_{0,n}$ by using (4.7). Particularly, when $j = 2$ one gets the D^2ΔKP equation (1.6).

As in continuous case, the D^2ΔKP equation (1.6) has a symmetry composed of eigenfunction φ_n and its adjoint function $\bar{\varphi}_n$.

Lemma 4.1. *[16] $(\varphi_n\bar{\varphi}_n)_x$ is a symmetry of the D$^2\Delta$KP equation (1.6) provided*

$$\varphi_{n,x} = A_1\varphi_n, \quad \bar{\varphi}_{n,x} = -A_1^*\bar{\varphi}_n, \tag{4.9}$$

and

$$\varphi_{n,t_2} = A_2\varphi_n, \quad \bar{\varphi}_{n,t_2} = -A_2^*\bar{\varphi}_n. \tag{4.10}$$

Here A_i^* stands for the formal adjoint operator of A_i w.r.t. the bilinear form (2.2). Explicit forms of them are

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_1^* = -\Delta E^{-1} + u_{0,n},\\
&A_2^* = \Delta^2 E^{-2} - \Delta E^{-1}((\Delta u_{0,n}) + 2u_{0,n}) + (\Delta u_{0,n}) + u_{0,n}^2 + u_{0,n,x} + 2(\Delta^{-1}u_{0,n,x}),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have replaced $u_{1,n}$ with $\Delta^{-1}u_{0,n,x}$.

Note that $u_{0,n,x}$ is also a symmetry of the D$^2\Delta$KP equation (1.6) (cf.[34]). Consider a symmetry $\sigma = u_{0,n,x} + (\varphi_n\bar{\varphi}_n)_x$. Taking $\sigma = 0$ leads to a group invariant solution to (1.6). On the other hand, $\sigma = 0$ provides a symmetry constraint $u_{0,n} = -\varphi_n\bar{\varphi}_n$. For convenience, we write $\varphi_n = Q_n,\ \bar{\varphi}_n = R_n$, and then we have

$$u_{0,n} = -Q_nR_n \tag{4.11}$$

and (4.9) reads

$$Q_{n,x} = Q_{n+1} - Q_n - Q_n^2R_n, \quad R_{n,x} = R_n - R_{n-1} + Q_nR_n^2. \tag{4.12}$$

If replacing R_n with R_{n+1}, (4.12) provides a Bäcklund transformation for the nonlinear Schrödinger equations (cf.[23]), and through suitable continuum limit it yields the nonlinear Schrödinger equations (cf.[35]). Next we investigate the change of $\mathfrak{L}$ under constraint (4.11) and (4.12).

Lemma 4.2. *If $u_{0,n}$ is given by (4.11) where Q_n and R_n obey (4.12), then $u_{k,n}$ defined in (4.7) can be expressed as*

$$u_{k+1,n} = (-1)^{k+1}Q_n\Delta^kR_{n-k-1}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots. \tag{4.13}$$

Proof. From (4.7), (4.11) and (4.12) it is not difficult to find

$$u_{1,n} = -Q_nR_{n-1}, \quad u_{2,n} = Q_n\Delta R_{n-2}, \quad u_{3,n} = -Q_n\Delta^2R_{n-3}.$$

Now we suppose (4.13) is valid up to $u_{k+1,n}$. Then for $u_{k+2,n}$, from (4.7) we have

$$\Delta u_{k+2,n} = u_{k+1,n,x} - \Delta u_{k+1,n} - u_{0,n}u_{k+1,n} + \sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^j\mathrm{C}_k^ju_{k+1-j,n}\Delta^ju_{0,n-k-1}. \tag{4.14}$$

For the first three terms on the right hand side, substituting (4.13) into them and making use of (4.11) and (4.12), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&u_{k+1,n,x} - \Delta u_{k+1,n} - u_{0,n}u_{k+1,n}\\
=&\Delta((-1)^{k+2}Q_n\Delta^{k+1}R_{n-k-2}) + (-1)^{k+1}Q_n\Delta^k(Q_{n-k-1}R_{n-k-1}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Using formula (4.2), the last term on the right hand side of the above equation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{k+1}Q_n\Delta^k(Q_{n-k-1}R^2_{n-k-1})\\
=&(-1)^{k+2}Q_n\Delta^k(R_{n-k-1}u_{0,n-k-1})\\
=&(-1)^{k+2}\sum_{j=0}^{k}\mathrm{C}_k^j\,Q_n(\Delta^{k-j}R_{n-k-j-1})(\Delta^j u_{0,n-k-1})\\
=&-\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^j\mathrm{C}_k^j\,u_{k+1-j,n}\Delta^j u_{0,n-k-1},
\end{aligned}$$

which is just canceled by the last term on the right hand side of (4.14). Thus we reach

$$\Delta u_{k+2,n}=\Delta((-1)^{k+2}Q_n\Delta^{k+1}R_{n-k-2}),$$

i.e.,

$$u_{k+2,n}=(-1)^{k+2}Q_n\Delta^{k+1}R_{n-k-2}.$$

Based on mathematical induction, we complete the proof. □

With Lemma 4.2 in hand and making use of formula (4.2) (for $s=-1$), we immediately find

$$(\mathfrak{L})_-=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}u_{j,n}\Delta^{-j}=-Q_n\Delta^{-1}R_n. \tag{4.15}$$

As a result we reach the follow theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Under symmetry constraint* (4.11) *where* Q_n *and* R_n *obey* (4.12)*, the spectral problem* (4.4a) *is written as* (3.6)*.*

Note that in [36] (4.15) is called constrained discrete KP hierarchy, which is from here actually a result of the symmetry constraint (4.11) together with (4.12).

4.2 The sdAKNS hierarchy from symmetry constraint

There is a sdAKNS hierarchy coming from (4.4c) and its adjoint form under the constraint (4.11). This agrees with the continuum limit of the D$^2\Delta$KP hierarchy and symmetry constraint of the continuous KP hierarchy (cf.[12, 14, 37])

In the following we prove

Theorem 4.2. *For the pseudo-difference operator*

$$\mathfrak{L}=\Delta-Q_nR_n-Q_n\Delta^{-1}R_n, \tag{4.16}$$

define $A_m=(\mathfrak{L}^m)_+$ *and* A_m^* *to be the adjoint operator of* A_m *w.r.t. the bilinear form* (2.2)*. Then*

$$Q_{n,t_m}=A_mQ_n, \tag{4.17a}$$
$$R_{n,t_m}=-A_m^*R_n \tag{4.17b}$$

provide a recursive relation

$$\begin{pmatrix}Q_n\\R_n\end{pmatrix}_{t_{m+1}}=\mathcal{L}^{(+)}\begin{pmatrix}Q_n\\R_n\end{pmatrix}_{t_m}, \tag{4.18}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}^{(+)}=\begin{pmatrix}\Delta-Q_n(E+1)\Delta^{-1}R_n-Q_nR_n & -Q_n(E+1)\Delta^{-1}Q_n\\ R_n(E+1)\Delta^{-1}R_n & -\Delta E^{-1}+R_n(E+1)\Delta^{-1}Q_n-Q_nR_n\end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.19}$$

(4.18) *generates a sdAKNS hierarchy (see* (5.20)*).*

To prove the theorem we first give two lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that* $p^{(m)}_{-1,n}=\mathrm{Res}_\Delta\mathfrak{L}^m$*, i.e.* $p^{(m)}_{-1,n}$ *is the coefficient of* Δ^{-1} *term in* $\mathfrak{L}^m$*. Then we have*

$$\Delta p^{(m)}_{-1,n}=-(Q_nR_n)_{t_m}. \tag{4.20}$$

Proof. Comparing the constant terms of the left and right hand sides of the Lax equation

$$\mathfrak{L}_{t_m}=[A_m,\mathfrak{L}]=-[(\mathfrak{L}^m)_-,\mathfrak{L}],$$

one immediately obtains (4.20). Here $(\mathfrak{L}^m)_-=\mathfrak{L}^m-A_m$. □

Lemma 4.4. *The following relations hold,*

$$(Q_n\Delta^{-1}R_nA_m)_+=Q_n\Delta^{-1}R_nA_m-Q_n\Delta^{-1}(A_m^*R_n), \tag{4.21}$$

$$(A_mQ_n\Delta^{-1}R_n)_+=A_mQ_n\Delta^{-1}R_n-(A_mQ_n)\Delta^{-1}R_n. \tag{4.22}$$

Proof. We prove them one by one. For (4.21) we only need to prove

$$(Q_n\Delta^{-1}R_nA_m)_-=Q_n\Delta^{-1}(A_m^*R_n). \tag{4.23}$$

In fact, supposing that $A_m=\sum_{j=0}^m a_{j,n}\Delta^{m-j}$ and noting that $R_n\to 0$ as $|n|\to\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(Q_n\Delta^{-1}R_nA_m)_-=&\Big(Q_n\Delta^{-1}R_n\sum_{j=0}^m a_{j,n}\Delta^{m-j}\Big)_-\\ =&\Big[Q_n\sum_{j=0}^m\sum_{s=1}^\infty(-1)^{s-1}(\Delta^{s-1}E^{-s}R_na_{j,n})\Delta^{m-j-s}\Big]_-\\ =&Q_n\sum_{l=1}^\infty\Big[(-1)^{l-1}\Delta^{l-1}E^{-l}\sum_{j=0}^m(-1)^{m-j}(\Delta^{m-j}E^{-(m-j)}a_{j,n}R_n)\Big]\Delta^{-l}\\ =&Q_n\sum_{l=1}^\infty\Big[(-1)^{l-1}\Delta^{l-1}E^{-l}(A_m^*R_n)\Big]\Delta^{-l}\\ =&Q_n\Delta^{-1}\Delta\sum_{l=1}^\infty\Big[(-1)^{l-1}\Delta^{l-1}E^{-l}(A_m^*R_n)\Big]\Delta^{-l}\\ =&Q_n\Delta^{-1}\sum_{l=1}^\infty\Big\{[(\Delta^*)^{l-1}(A_m^*R_n)]\Delta^{-l+1}-[(\Delta^*)^l(A_m^*R_n)]\Delta^{-l}\Big\}\\ =&Q_n\Delta^{-1}(A_m^*R_n),\end{aligned}$$

i.e. (4.21).

Next, we prove (4.22). To calculate $(A_mQ_n\Delta^{-1}R_n)_-$ we rewrite the operator A_mQ_n as as a form of pure difference operator $A_mQ_n=\sum_{j=0}^m b_{j,n}\Delta^{m-j}$ in which only the constant term $b_{m,n}$ makes sense in $(A_mQ_n\Delta^{-1}R_n)_-$. Since $b_{m,n}=(A_mQ_n)$ we immediately find $(A_mQ_n\Delta^{-1}R_n)_-=(A_mQ_n)\Delta^{-1}R_n$, which leads to the relation (4.22). □

The lemma also implies a relation[38]

$$[A_m, Q_n\Delta^{-1}R_n]_- = (A_mQ_n)\Delta^{-1}R_n - Q_n\Delta^{-1}(A_m^*R_n).$$

Now we come to the proof of Theorem 4.2.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. First,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{m+1} =& \Big[(\Delta - Q_nR_n - Q_n\Delta^{-1}R_n)(A_m + p_{-1,n}^{(m)}\Delta^{-1})\Big]_+ \\ =& \Delta A_m - Q_nR_nA_m - [E\Delta^{-1}(Q_nR_{n,t_m} + R_nQ_{n,t_m})] - (Q_n\Delta^{-1}R_nA_m)_+, \end{aligned}$$

where we have made use of Lemma 4.3. Substituting (4.21) into the above we find

$$A_{m+1} = \Delta A_m - Q_nR_nA_m - Q_n\Delta^{-1}R_nA_m - Q_n\Delta^{-1}R_{n,t_m} - [E\Delta^{-1}(Q_nR_{n,t_m} + R_nQ_{n,t_m})]. \tag{4.24}$$

Note that the last term is a scalar.

Next, we calculate A_{m+1} in another way:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{m+1} =& \Big[(A_m + p_{-1,n}^{(m)}\Delta^{-1})(\Delta - Q_nR_n - Q_n\Delta^{-1}R_n)\Big]_+ \\ =& A_m\Delta - A_mQ_nR_n + p_{-1,n}^{(m)} - (A_mQ_n\Delta^{-1}R_n)_+ \\ =& A_m\Delta - A_mQ_nR_n - A_mQ_n\Delta^{-1}R_n + Q_{n,t_m}\Delta^{-1}R_n - [\Delta^{-1}(Q_nR_{n,t_m} + R_nQ_{n,t_m})], \end{aligned}$$

where we have made use of Lemma 4.3 and (4.22). Its adjoint form reads

$$A_{m+1}^* = \Delta^*A_m^* - Q_nR_nA_m^* + R_nE\Delta^{-1}Q_nA_m^* - R_nE\Delta^{-1}Q_{n,t_m} - [\Delta^{-1}(Q_nR_{n,t_m} + R_nQ_{n,t_m})]. \tag{4.25}$$

Now, imposing (4.24) on Q_n and (4.25) on R_n, respectively, and making use of (4.17), we arrive at the recursive relation (4.18). Thus we complete the proof. □

Here we remark that it is possible to prove that $(\varphi_n\bar{\varphi}_n)_x$ is a symmetry of the whole D$^2\Delta$KP hierarchy. Following the idea in [39] on additional symmetry

$$\mathfrak{L}_z = -[\varphi_n\Delta^{-1}\bar{\varphi}_n, \mathfrak{L}], \tag{4.26}$$

where $\mathfrak{L}$ is the pseudo-difference operator (4.1), φ_n and $\bar{\varphi}_n$ respectively satisfy (4.4c) and its adjoint form $\bar{\varphi}_{n,t_j} = -A_j^*\bar{\varphi}_n$, and we additionally request φ_n and $\bar{\varphi}_n$ satisfy (4.9) as well due to the Lax triad (4.4), equation (4.26) yields for Δ^0 term that $u_{0,n,z} = \varphi_{n+1}\bar{\varphi}_n - \varphi_n\bar{\varphi}_{n-1}$, which is $u_{0,n,z} = (\varphi_n\bar{\varphi}_n)_x$ under (4.9). It has been proved that [40] $[\partial_{t_j}, \partial_z]\mathfrak{L} = 0$, which means $(\varphi_n\bar{\varphi}_n)_x$ and the D$^2\Delta$KP flows $\mathcal{K}_j$ defined in (4.8) commute, i.e. $[\![\mathcal{K}_j, (\varphi_n\bar{\varphi}_n)_x]\!] = 0$. Thus, $(\varphi_n\bar{\varphi}_n)_x$ is a symmetry of the whole D$^2\Delta$KP hierarchy (4.8). Such a result can also be proved as in [41] for continuous case from another approach. With this symmetry, the extended D$^2\Delta$KP hierarchy [38] can be interpreted as a kind of symmetry constraints and then the sources provided by $(\varphi_n\bar{\varphi}_n)_x$ are automatically self-consistent. We also remark that, as we can see, the additional condition (4.9), coming from the item in Lax triad for the independent variable x, plays a significant role. Anyway, by means of symmetry constraint (4.11), one may study integrability properties of the sdAKNS hierarchy from a viewpoint of the D$^2\Delta$KP hierarchy. This will be considered elsewhere.

5 The sdAKNS hierarchies related to (1.3)

In this section we will first list isospectral and nonisospectral flows together with their Lie algebra derived from (1.3) in [9]. As new results, from Lie algebraic structures of these flows we construct new symmetries of nonisospectral equations. In addition, by considering infinite dimensional subalgebras and continuum limit of recursion operator, we construct three types of isospectral and nonisospectral sdAKNS hierarchies. The obtained isospectral hierarchies admit nonlocal one-field reductions.

5.1 Flows related to (1.3) and their Lie algebra

In Ref.[9], from spectral problem (1.3), the following isospectral hierarchy $U_{n,t_s} = K_s$ and nonisospectral hierarchy $U_{n,t_s} = \sigma_s$ were derived:

$$U_{n,t_s} = K_s = L^s K_0, \quad K_0 = \begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ -R_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{5.1}$$

$$U_{n,t_s} = \sigma_s = L^s \sigma_0, \quad \sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} (n+\frac{1}{2})Q_n \\ -(n-\frac{1}{2})R_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{5.2}$$

where $U_n = (Q_n, R_n)^T$, L is a recursion operator

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & E^{-1} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ -R_n \end{pmatrix} (E+1)\Delta^{-1}(R_n, Q_n) - Q_n R_n, \tag{5.3}$$

and its inverse L^{-1} is

$$L^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} E^{-1}\mu_n^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_n^{-1}E \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} E^{-1}Q_n\mu_n^{-1} \\ -R_{n+1}\mu_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix} (E+1)\Delta^{-1}(\mu_n^{-1}R_{n+1}, \mu_n^{-1}Q_n E) \tag{5.4}$$

with $\mu_n = 1 + Q_n R_{n+1}$. L is a hereditary operator. The simplest ispospectral flows and nonisospectral flows are

$$K_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n-1}/\mu_{n-1} \\ -R_{n+1}/\mu_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_0 = \begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ -R_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_1 = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n+1} - Q_n^2 R_n \\ -R_{n-1} + R_n^2 Q_n \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.5a}$$

$$K_2 = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n+2} - Q_{n+1}^2 R_{n+1} - Q_n^2 R_{n-1} - 2Q_n Q_{n+1} R_n + Q_n^3 R_n^2 \\ -R_{n-2} + R_{n-1}^2 Q_{n-1} + R_n^2 Q_{n+1} + 2R_{n-1}R_n Q_n - R_n^3 Q_n^2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.5b}$$

and

$$\sigma_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (n-1/2)Q_{n-1}/\mu_{n-1} \\ -(n+1/2)R_{n+1}/\mu_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} (n+1/2)Q_n \\ -(n-1/2)R_n \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.6a}$$

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} (n+3/2)Q_{n+1} - (n+3/2)Q_n^2 R_n - 2Q_n\Delta^{-1}Q_n R_n \\ -(n-3/2)R_{n-1} + (n+1/2)R_n^2 Q_n + 2R_n\Delta^{-1}R_n Q_n \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.6b}$$

The flows K_s and σ_k defined in (5.1) and (5.2) constitute a centerless Virasoro algebra [9],

$$[\![K_m, K_s]\!] = 0, \tag{5.7a}$$

$$[\![K_m, \sigma_s]\!] = mK_{m+s}, \tag{5.7b}$$

$$[\![\sigma_m, \sigma_s]\!] = (m-s)\sigma_{m+s}, \quad m, s \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{5.7c}$$

5.2 New symmetries of nonisospectral equations (5.2)

Note that algebraic structure (5.7) is the same as the one generated by the AL flows (see [42]). This means the hierarchies (5.1) and (5.2) and the AL hierarchies can share those results obtained from the algebraic structure (5.7). One remarkable result is that the nonisospectral hierarchy (5.2) posses symmetries. In fact, it is very rare for a nonisospectral equation to have infinitely many symmetries. However, making use of minus indices in the structure (5.7), infinitely many symmetries for the nonisospectral hierarchy (5.2) can be constructed.

Theorem 5.1. *Any given member $U_{n,t_m} = \sigma_m$ in the nonisospectral hierarchy (5.2) possesses two sets of symmetries,*

$$\eta_s^{(m)} = \sum_{j=0}^{s} \mathrm{C}_s^j (mt_m)^{s-j} \sigma_{m-jm}, \quad (s = 0, 1, 2 \cdots .), \tag{5.8a}$$

$$\gamma_s^{(m)} = \sum_{j=0}^{s} \mathrm{C}_s^j (mt_m)^{s-j} K_{-jm}, \quad (s = 0, 1, 2 \cdots .), \tag{5.8b}$$

and these symmetries form a centerless Virasoro algebra with structure

$$[\![\gamma_l^{(m)}, \gamma_s^{(m)}]\!] = 0, \tag{5.9a}$$

$$[\![\gamma_l^{(m)}, \eta_s^{(m)}]\!] = -ml\gamma_{l+s-1}^{(m)}, \tag{5.9b}$$

$$[\![\eta_l^{(m)}, \eta_s^{(m)}]\!] = -m(l-s)\eta_{l+s-1}^{(m)}. \tag{5.9c}$$

Here the suffix (m) corresponds to equation $U_{n,t_m} = \sigma_m$.

We skip the proof, for which one can refer to Proposition 5.1 in [42].

For an isospectral equation $U_{n,t_m} = K_m$ in the isospectral hierarchy (5.1), it also has two sets of symmetries,

$$\{K_s\} \text{ and } \{\tau_s^{(m)} = mt_m K_{m+s} + \sigma_s\}, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

and they form a centerless Virosoro algebra as well, with structure

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\![K_l, K_s]\!] = 0,\\
&[\![K_l, \tau_s^{(m)}]\!] = lK_{l+s},\\
&[\![\tau_l^{(m)}, \tau_s^{(m)}]\!] = (l-s)\tau_{l+s}^{(m)}.
\end{aligned}$$

5.3 Infinite dimensional subalgebras and new sdAKNS hierarchies

Equations (5.1) and (5.2) are not the sdAKNS hierarchies. It is interesting to consider infinite dimensional subalgebras of the algebra (5.7). These subalgebras, together with continuum limits of the recursion operator (5.3), can be used to construct and identify sdAKNS hierarchies.

5.3.1 Infinite dimensional subalgebras

Define

$$\bar{K}_s^{(+)} = (\mathcal{L}^{(+)})^s K_0, \quad \bar{\sigma}_s^{(+)} = (\mathcal{L}^{(+)})^s \sigma_0, \quad s = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, \tag{5.10}$$

$$\bar{K}_s^{(-)} = (\mathcal{L}^{(-)})^s K_0, \quad \bar{\sigma}_s^{(+)} = (\mathcal{L}^{(-)})^s \sigma_0, \quad s = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, \tag{5.11}$$

and

$$\bar{K}_{2s+1} = \mathcal{L}^s (K_1 - K_{-1})/2, \quad \bar{K}_{2s} = \mathcal{L}^s K_0, \quad s = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, \tag{5.12a}$$

$$\bar{\sigma}_{2s+1} = \mathcal{L}^s (\sigma_1 - \sigma_{-1})/2, \quad \bar{\sigma}_{2s} = \mathcal{L}^s \sigma_0, \quad s = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, \tag{5.12b}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L} = L - 2I + L^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{L}^{(+)} = L - I, \quad \mathcal{L}^{(-)} = I - L^{-1} \tag{5.13}$$

and I is the 2×2 unit matrix.

Lemma 5.1. *The flows*

$$(\mathrm{I}) : \{\bar{K}_s^{(+)}, \bar{\sigma}_l^{(+)}\}, \quad (\mathrm{II}) : \{\bar{K}_s^{(-)}, \bar{\sigma}_l^{(-)}\}, \quad (\mathrm{III}) : \{\bar{K}_{2m+j}, \bar{\sigma}_{2s+k}\}, \tag{5.14}$$

generate three infinite dimensional subalgebras, respectively, with structures

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{I}) : \ & [\![\bar{K}_s^{(+)}, \bar{K}_l^{(+)}]\!] = 0, \\
& [\![\bar{K}_s^{(+)}, \bar{\sigma}_l^{(+)}]\!] = s(\bar{K}_{s+l}^{(+)} + \bar{K}_{s+l-1}^{(+)}), \\
& [\![\bar{\sigma}_s^{(+)}, \bar{\sigma}_l^{(+)}]\!] = (s-l)(\bar{\sigma}_{s+l}^{(+)} + \bar{\sigma}_{s+l-1}^{(+)}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{II}) : \ & [\![\bar{K}_s^{(-)}, \bar{K}_l^{(-)}]\!] = 0, \\
& [\![\bar{K}_s^{(-)}, \bar{\sigma}_l^{(-)}]\!] = -s(\bar{K}_{s+l}^{(-)} - \bar{K}_{s+l-1}^{(-)}), \\
& [\![\bar{\sigma}_s^{(-)}, \bar{\sigma}_l^{(-)}]\!] = -(s-l)(\bar{\sigma}_{s+l}^{(-)} - \bar{\sigma}_{s+l-1}^{(-)}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{III}) : \ & [\![\bar{K}_{2m+j}, \bar{K}_{2s+k}]\!] = 0, \\
& [\![\bar{K}_{2m}, \bar{\sigma}_{2s}]\!] = 2m\bar{K}_{2(m+s)-1}, \\
& [\![\bar{K}_{2m}, \bar{\sigma}_{2s+1}]\!] = 2m\bar{K}_{2(m+s)} + \frac{m}{2}\bar{K}_{2(m+s+1)}, \\
& [\![\bar{K}_{2m+1}, \bar{\sigma}_{2s+k}]\!] = (2m+1)\bar{K}_{2(m+s)+k} + \frac{m+1}{2}\bar{K}_{2(m+s+1)+k}, \\
& [\![\bar{\sigma}_{2m}, \bar{\sigma}_{2s}]\!] = 2(m-s)\bar{\sigma}_{2(m+s)-1}, \\
& [\![\bar{\sigma}_{2m+j}, \bar{\sigma}_{2s+1}]\!] = [2(m-s)-1+j]\bar{\sigma}_{2(m+s)+j} + \frac{m-s-1+j}{2}\bar{\sigma}_{2(m+s+1)+j},
\end{aligned}$$

where $j, k \in \{0, 1\}$, $m, s \geq 0$ and we define $\bar{K}_{-1}^{(\pm)} = \bar{\sigma}_{-1}^{(\pm)} = \bar{K}_{-1} = \bar{\sigma}_{-1} = 0$. Obviously, the set (III) has a subalgebra $\{\bar{K}_{2m+1}, \bar{\sigma}_{2s+1}\}$.

This lemma can be verified directly. The structure of set (III) has been proved in [6]. Note that operator $L - L^{-1}$ does not generate a subalgebra of (5.7).

5.3.2 Three sdAKNS hierarchies

Let us construct the sdAKNS hierarchies through considering possible combinations of the flows $\{K_s\}$ and $\{\sigma_s\}$ defined in (5.1) and (5.2). The criteria is that these combined flows should be closed as a subalgebra of (5.7) and they must yield their counterparts in the continuous AKNS flows in reasonable continuum limits. For this purpose we investigate continuum limits of initial flows, L and L^{-1} under a uniform scheme[2]

$$U_{n+j} = \epsilon(q(x+j\epsilon,t), r(x+j\epsilon,t))^T, \quad n\epsilon = x, (n\to\infty, \epsilon\to 0). \tag{5.15}$$

We find

$$K_0 = \epsilon(q,-r)^T, \quad (K_1 - K_{-1})/2 = \epsilon^2(q,r)_x^T + O(\epsilon^3), \tag{5.16a}$$

$$\sigma_0 = x(q,-r)^T + O(\epsilon), \quad (\sigma_1 - \sigma_{-1})/2 = \epsilon(xq_x + q, xr_x + r)^T + O(\epsilon^2), \tag{5.16b}$$

and

$$L = I + \epsilon L_{AKNS} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} L_{NLS} + O(\epsilon^3), \tag{5.17a}$$

$$L^{-1} = I - \epsilon L_{AKNS} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2}(2L_{AKNS}^2 - L_{NLS}) + O(\epsilon^3), \tag{5.17b}$$

where

$$L_{AKNS} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x - 2q\partial_x^{-1}r & -2q\partial_x^{-1}q \\ 2r\partial_x^{-1}r & -\partial_x + 2r\partial_x^{-1}q \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.18}$$

is the recursion operator of the continuous AKNS hierarchy, and

$$L_{NLS} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_x^2 \end{pmatrix} - 2qr,$$

which yields the nonlinear Schrödinger system by acting on $(q,-r)^T$. (5.17) indicates

$$\mathcal{L}^{(+)} = L - I = \epsilon L_{AKNS} + O(\epsilon^2), \tag{5.19a}$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{(-)} = I - L^{-1} = \epsilon L_{AKNS} + O(\epsilon^2), \tag{5.19b}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = L - 2I + L^{-1} = \epsilon^2 L_{AKNS}^2 + O(\epsilon^3). \tag{5.19c}$$

Thus, based on the continuum limits of initial flows in (5.16), the definition of the flows (5.10,5.11,5.12) and Lemma 5.1, we obtain three sets of sdAKNS hierarchies.

Theorem 5.2. *The flows defined in (5.10,5.11,5.12) yield three sets of sdAKNS hierarchies*

$$\text{(I)}: U_{t_s} = \bar{K}_s^{(+)}, \quad U_{t_s} = \bar{\sigma}_s^{(+)}, \tag{5.20}$$

$$\text{(II)}: U_{t_s} = \bar{K}_s^{(-)}, \quad U_{t_s} = \bar{\sigma}_s^{(-)}, \tag{5.21}$$

$$\text{(III)}: U_{t_s} = \bar{K}_s, \quad U_{t_s} = \bar{\sigma}_s, \tag{5.22}$$

where $s = 0, 1, \cdots$. They all correspond to the continuous isospectral and nonisospectral AKNS hierarchies under the continuum limit (5.15)[3]

Here we remark that $\{U_{t_s} = \bar{K}_s^{(+)}\}$ was already found [10], which is just (4.18), the result of symmetry constraint of the D^2ΔKP hierarchy. Besides, equation $U_{t_2} = \bar{K}_2$ was also mentioned in [10] as a discretization of the 2nd order AKNS equations.

[2]The correspondence between x and n is $x = x_0 + n\epsilon$ where ϵ is viewed as a spacing parameter. Here we take $x_0 = 0$ for convenience.

[3]In principle we need to suitably rescale t_s by $\epsilon^j t_s$. For example, for $U_{t_s} = \bar{K}_s$, rescale t_s by $\epsilon^{-s} t_s$.

5.4 Nonlocal one-field reductions of isospectral hierarchies

The isospectral sdAKNS hierarchy (5.20) has been studied in [9, 10]. Compared with the sdAKNS hierarchy generated from the AL spectral problem which admit one-field reductions (cf. [7]), "the non-existence of one-field reductions" for the hierarchy (5.20) was pointed out in [10]. However, we find that all the isospectral equations $\{U_{t_s} = K_s\}$ defined in (5.1) admit nonlocal one-field reductions $R_{n+j} = \pm Q^*_{n-j}$ together with replacement $t_s \to -it_s$, where $i^2 = -1$ and $*$ stands for complex conjugate without any confusion. For example, the equation $U_{t_1} = K_1$ reads

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ R_n \end{pmatrix}_{t_1} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n+1} - Q_n^2 R_n \\ -R_{n-1} + R_n^2 Q_n \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.23}$$

Under

$$R_{n+j} = Q^*_{n-j}, \quad t_s \to -it_s, \tag{5.24}$$

it reduces to an one-field (local) equation

$$iQ_{n,t_1} = Q_{n+1} - |Q_n|^2 Q_n, \tag{5.25}$$

where $|Q_n|^2 = Q_n Q_n^*$. One more example of reduction is to take $R_{n+j}(t) = Q_{n-j}(-t)$, under which (5.23) yields ($t = t_1$)

$$(Q_n(t))_t = Q_{n+1}(t) - Q_n^2(t) Q_n(-t), \tag{5.26a}$$

$$(Q_n(-t))_t = -Q_{n+1}(-t) + Q_n^2(-t) Q_n(t). \tag{5.26b}$$

These two equations are consistent in the sense that (5.26b) can be obtained from (5.26a) by introducing $T = -t$ and $Q_n(T) = Q_n(-t)$, under which (5.26b) is $(Q_n(T))_T = Q_{n+1}(T) - Q_n^2(T) Q_n(-T)$, same form as (5.26a). Note that (5.26a) is a nonlocal equation w.r.t. t.

In the following we develop an approach to prove the reductions

$$R_{n+j} = \pm Q^*_{n-j}, \quad t_s \to -it_s \tag{5.27}$$

and

$$R_{n+j}(t) = \pm Q_{n-j}(-t) \tag{5.28}$$

are valid for the whole hierarchy $\{U_{t_s} = K_s\}$, and so are for the isospectral sdAKNS hierarchies $\{U_{t_s} = \bar{K}_s^{(\pm)}\}$ and $\{U_{t_s} = \bar{K}_s\}$.

5.4.1 Reduction $R_{n+j} = Q^*_{n-j}$

Let us first examine the reduction under (5.24). Consider series-type function of Q_n, R_n with real coefficients:

$$f = f((E^s Q_n), (E^m R_n)) = \sum a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} (E^\alpha Q_n)^i (E^\beta R_n)^j, \quad a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{5.29}$$

Introducing an adjoint operation by switching E and E^{-1}, Q_n and R_n simultaneously, i.e.

$$E \leftrightarrow E^{-1}, \quad Q_n \leftrightarrow R_n, \tag{5.30}$$

we define an adjoint function $\widehat{f}$ of f by imposing on f the above adjoint operation,

$$\widehat{f} = f((E^{-s} R_n), (E^{-m} Q_n)) = \sum a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} (E^{-\alpha} R_n)^i (E^{-\beta} Q_n)^j. \tag{5.31}$$

For example,

$$f = Q_{n+1} - Q_n^2 R_n + R_{n+2}^2 = (EQ_n) - Q_n^2 R_n + (E^2 R_n)^2, \quad \widehat{f} = R_{n-1} - R_n^2 Q_n + Q_{n-2}^2.$$

For the operator L and L^{-1} defined in (5.3) and (5.4), we write them in the form

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} L_1 & L_2 \\ L_3 & L_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} G_1 & G_2 \\ G_3 & G_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A generic form of the operators L_i and G_i is

$$F = \sum b_{ij}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} (E^\alpha Q_n)^i (E^\beta R_n)^j E^\gamma, \quad b_{ij}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{5.32}$$

Define an adjoint operator $\widehat{F}$ of F by imposing the adjoint operation (5.30):

$$\widehat{F} = \sum b_{ij}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} (E^{-\alpha} R_n)^i (E^{-\beta} Q_n)^j E^{-\gamma}. \tag{5.33}$$

Under this definition we find both L and L^{-1} have the form

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} L_1 & L_2 \\ \widehat{L}_2 & \widehat{L}_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} G_1 & G_2 \\ \widehat{G}_2 & \widehat{G}_1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.34}$$

The adjoint operation (5.30) satisfies the following rule.

Lemma 5.2. *For the generic scalar function f and operator F defined in (5.29) and (5.32), their adjoint operation (5.30) satisfies*

$$\widehat{Ff} = \widehat{F}\,\widehat{f}. \tag{5.35}$$

Proof. First,

$$\begin{aligned} Ff &= \sum b_{ij}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} (E^\alpha Q_n)^i (E^\beta R_n)^j E^\gamma f((E^s Q_n), (E^m R_n)) \\ &= \sum b_{ij}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} (E^\alpha Q_n)^i (E^\beta R_n)^j f((E^{s+\gamma} Q_n), (E^{m+\gamma} R_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Then, imposing adjoint operation (5.30) one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{Ff} &= \sum b_{ij}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} (E^{-\alpha} R_n)^i (E^{-\beta} Q_n)^j f((E^{-(s+\gamma)} R_n), (E^{-(m+\gamma)} Q_n)) \\ &= \sum b_{ij}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} (E^{-\alpha} R_n)^i (E^{-\beta} Q_n)^j E^{-\gamma} f((E^{-s} R_n), (E^{-m} Q_n)) \\ &= \widehat{F}\,\widehat{f}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives (5.35). □

Next, noting that the special form of L and L^{-1} in (5.34) and in light of Lemma 5.2, it is easy to find the relation

$$L \begin{pmatrix} f \\ -\widehat{f} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g \\ -\widehat{g} \end{pmatrix}, \quad L^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ -\widehat{f} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h \\ -\widehat{h} \end{pmatrix},$$

where g and h are some scalar functions. Since $K_0 = (Q_n, -R_n)^T$ is in the form $(f, -\widehat{f})^T$, we immediately reach the following result.

Lemma 5.3. *All the flows* $\{K_s = (K_{s,1}, K_{s,2})^T\}$ *defined in* (5.1) *have the form* $(f, -\widehat{f})^T$, *i.e.* $K_{s,2} = -\widehat{K}_{s,1}$.

Besides, we also find

Lemma 5.4. *For the function* f *defined as* (5.29) *and its adjoint form* $\widehat{f}$ *defined as* (5.31), *there exists a relation*

$$(\widehat{f} = f^*)_{R_{n+j}=Q^*_{n-j}}. \tag{5.36}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{f}\,\big|_{R_{n+j}=Q^*_{n-j}} &= f((E^{-s}R_n), (E^{-m}Q_n))|_{R_{n+j}=Q^*_{n-j}}\\ &= f((E^{s}Q^*_n), (E^{-m}Q_n))\\ &= f^*((E^{s}Q_n), (E^{m}R_n))|_{R_{n+j}=Q^*_{n-j}},\end{aligned}$$

which is (5.36). □

With the help of the above two lemmas, we have the following result for nonlocal one-field reduction (5.24).

Theorem 5.3. *The isospectral hierarchy* $\{U_{t_m} = K_m = (K_{m,1}, K_{m,2})^T\}$ *defined in* (5.1) *and the three isospectral sdAKNS hierarchies* $\{U_{t_s} = \bar{K}^{(+)}_s = (K^{(+)}_{s,1}, K^{(+)}_{s,2})^T\}$ *defined in* (5.20), $\{U_{t_s} = \bar{K}^{(-)}_s = (K^{(-)}_{s,1}, K^{(-)}_{s,2})^T\}$ *defined in* (5.21) *and* $\{U_{t_s} = \bar{K}_s = (K_{s,1}, K_{s,2})^T\}$ *defined in* (5.22), *admit nonlocal one-field reduction* (5.24), *and the reduced results are the following scalar hierarchies*

$$iQ_{n,t_m} = K_{m,1}|_{R_{n+j}=Q^*_{n-j}}, \tag{5.37}$$

$$iQ_{n,t_s} = \bar{K}^{(+)}_{s,1}|_{R_{n+j}=Q^*_{n-j}}, \tag{5.38}$$

$$iQ_{n,t_s} = \bar{K}^{(-)}_{s,1}|_{R_{n+j}=Q^*_{n-j}}, \tag{5.39}$$

$$iQ_{n,t_s} = \bar{K}_{s,1}|_{R_{n+j}=Q^*_{n-j}}, \tag{5.40}$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z},\ s = 0, 1, \cdots$.

5.4.2 Reduction $R_{n+j} = -Q^*_{n-j}$

For the case

$$R_{n+j} = -Q^*_{n-j}, \quad t_s \to -it_s, \tag{5.41}$$

it is quite similar to the previous case for (5.24). We need to redefine an adjoint operation

$$E \leftrightarrow E^{-1}, \quad Q_n \leftrightarrow -R_n, \tag{5.42}$$

and redefine an adjoint function $\widetilde{f}$ of f (5.29) by imposing on f the above new adjoint operation:

$$\widetilde{f} = f((-E^{-s}R_n), (-E^{-m}Q_n)) = \sum a^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}(-E^{-\alpha}R_n)^i(-E^{-\beta}Q_n)^j. \tag{5.43}$$

Then, through a similar procedure we reach

Theorem 5.4. *The isospectral hierarchy* $\{U_{t_m} = K_m\}$ *defined in* (5.1), $\{U_{t_s} = \bar{K}_s^{(+)}\}$ *defined in* (5.20), $\{U_{t_s} = \bar{K}_s^{(-)}\}$ *defined in* (5.21) *and* $\{U_{t_s} = \bar{K}_s\}$ *defined in* (5.22) *admit nonlocal one-field reduction* (5.41)*:*

$$iQ_{n,t_m} = K_{m,1}|_{R_{n+j}=-Q^*_{n-j}}, \tag{5.44}$$

$$iQ_{n,t_s} = \bar{K}^{(+)}_{s,1}|_{R_{n+j}=-Q^*_{n-j}}, \tag{5.45}$$

$$iQ_{n,t_s} = \bar{K}^{(-)}_{s,1}|_{R_{n+j}=-Q^*_{n-j}}, \tag{5.46}$$

$$iQ_{n,t_s} = \bar{K}_{s,1}|_{R_{n+j}=-Q^*_{n-j}}, \tag{5.47}$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z},\ s = 0, 1, \cdots$.

5.4.3 Reduction $R_{n+j}(t) = \pm Q_{n-j}(-t)$

Take $R_{n+j}(t) = Q_{n-j}(-t)$ as an example. We can make use of the lemmas in Sec.5.4.1 and we need to reconsider Lemma 5.4 with the present case.

Lemma 5.5. *For the function* f *defined as* (5.29) *and its adjoint form* $\widehat{f}$ *defined as* (5.31)*, there exists relation*

$$\widehat{f}\,|_{R_{n+j}(t)=Q_{n-j}(-t)} = \big(f\,|_{R_{n+j}(t)=Q_{n-j}(-t)}\big)_{t\to -t}. \tag{5.48}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{f}\,|_{R_{n+j}(t)=Q_{n-j}(-t)} &= f((E^{-s}R_n(t)), (E^{-m}Q_n(t)))|_{R_{n+j}(t)=Q_{n-j}(-t)} \\
&= f((E^{s}Q_n(-t)), (E^{-m}Q_n(t))) \\
&= \big(f((E^{s}Q_n(t)), (E^{m}R_n(t)))|_{R_{n+j}(t)=Q_{n-j}(-t)}\big)_{t\to -t},
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. (5.48). □

Next we skip detailed analysis which is similar to the case $R_{n+j} = \pm Q^*_{n-j}$, and directly give the reduction result.

Theorem 5.5. *The isospectral hierarchy* $\{U_{t_m} = K_m\}$ *defined in* (5.1), $\{U_{t_s} = \bar{K}_s^{(+)}\}$ *defined in* (5.20), $\{U_{t_s} = \bar{K}_s^{(-)}\}$ *defined in* (5.21) *and* $\{U_{t_s} = \bar{K}_s\}$ *defined in* (5.22) *admit nonlocal one-field reduction* (5.28)*, and the integrable scalar nonlocal equations are*

$$Q_{n,t_m} = K_{m,1}|_{R_{n+j}(t_m)=\pm Q_{n-j}(-t_m)}, \tag{5.49}$$

$$Q_{n,t_s} = \bar{K}^{(+)}_{s,1}|_{R_{n+j}(t_s)=\pm Q_{n-j}(-t_s)}, \tag{5.50}$$

$$Q_{n,t_s} = \bar{K}^{(-)}_{s,1}|_{R_{n+j}(t_s)=\pm Q_{n-j}(-t_s)}, \tag{5.51}$$

$$Q_{n,t_s} = \bar{K}_{s,1}|_{R_{n+j}(t_s)=\pm Q_{n-j}(-t_s)}, \tag{5.52}$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z},\ s = 0, 1, \cdots$. *Note that these scalar equations are actually coupled by the partners which are their alternative forms of* $Q_n(T)$ *with* $T = -t$.

5.5 Examples

As examples we first give several semidiscrete versions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS).

- sdNLS equation with mixed continuum limit: The equation

$$iQ_{n,t} = Q_{n+1} - Q_n \pm |Q_n|^2 Q_n \tag{5.53}$$

tends to the NLS equation

$$iq_z = \frac{1}{2} q_{xx} \pm |q|^2 q, \tag{5.54}$$

in a mixed continuum limit

$$n\epsilon = \tau,\ z = \epsilon^2 t,\ x = \tau - i\epsilon t,\ Q_n(t) = \epsilon q(x,z). \tag{5.55}$$

Its Lax pair is given by (1.3) and $\Theta_{n,t} = N\Theta_n$ with N and constraint $R_{n-j} = \mp Q^*_{n+j}$, where

$$N = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda^2 + 1 & -2Q_n \\ -2R_{n-1} & \lambda^2 - 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.56}$$

Besides, both

$$iQ_{n,t_1}(1 \mp Q_{n-1}Q^*_n) = -Q_n + Q_{n-1} \pm |Q_n|^2 Q_{n-1} \tag{5.57}$$

and

$$2iQ_{n,t_1} = Q_{n+1} - \frac{Q_{n-1}}{1 \mp Q_{n-1}Q^*_n} \pm |Q_n|^2 Q_n \tag{5.58}$$

tend to the continuous NLS equation (5.54) via a continuum limit similar to (5.55). Their Lax pairs are given by (1.3) and $\Theta_{n,t} = N_k\Theta_n, k = 1,2$ respectively with N_1 and N_2 with constraint $R_{n-j} = \mp Q^*_{n+j}$, where N_1 and N_2 are

$$N_1 = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{Q_{n-1}R_n - 1}{\lambda^2 \mu_{n-1}} & \frac{2Q_{n-1}}{\lambda^2 \mu_{n-1}} \\ \frac{2R_n}{\lambda^2 \mu_{n-1}} & -1 - \frac{Q_{n-1}R_n - 1}{\lambda^2 \mu_{n-1}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.59}$$

and

$$N_2 = \frac{i}{4} \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda^2 + \frac{1 - Q_{n-1}R_n}{\lambda^2 \mu_{n-1}} & -2Q_n - \frac{2Q_{n-1}}{\lambda^2 \mu_{n-1}} \\ -2R_{n-1} - \frac{2R_n}{\lambda^2 \mu_{n-1}} & \lambda^2 - \frac{1 - Q_{n-1}R_n}{\lambda^2 \mu_{n-1}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.60}$$

where $\mu_n = 1 + Q_n R_{n+1}$.

- sdNLS equation with direct continuum limit: The following two equations

$$iQ_{n,t} = Q_{n+1} - 2Q_n + \frac{Q_{n-1}}{1 \mp Q_{n-1}Q^*_n} \pm |Q_n|^2 Q_n \tag{5.61}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} iQ_{n,t} =& Q_{n+2} - 2Q_{n+1} + Q_n \mp 2|Q_n|^2(Q_n - Q_{n+1}) \\ & \pm Q^2_{n+1}Q^*_{n-1} \pm Q^2_n Q^*_{n+1} + |Q_n|^4 Q_n \end{aligned} \tag{5.62}$$

tend to the continuous NLS equation via a direct continuum limit $n\epsilon = x,\ t \to \epsilon^2 t,\ Q_n(t) = \epsilon q(x,t)$. Their Lax pairs are given by (1.3) and $\Theta_{n,t} = N_k\Theta_n, k = 3,4$ respectively with N_3, N_4 and constraint $R_{n-j} = \mp Q^*_{n+j}$, where N_3, N_4 are given as

$$N_3 = i\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\lambda^2}{2} + 1 + \frac{Q_{n-1}R_n - 1}{2\lambda^2\mu_{n-1}} & -Q_n + \frac{Q_{n-1}}{\lambda^2\mu_{n-1}} \\ -R_{n-1} + \frac{R_n}{\lambda^2\mu_{n-1}} & \frac{\lambda^2}{2} - 1 - \frac{Q_{n-1}R_n - 1}{2\lambda^2\mu_{n-1}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.63}$$

and

$$N_4 = i\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\lambda^4}{2} + \lambda^2 - \frac{1}{2} + Q_nR_{n-1} & -\lambda^2 Q_n - Q_{n+1} + 2Q_n + Q_n^2R_n \\ -\lambda^2 R_{n-1} - R_{n-2} + 2R_{n-1} + R_{n-1}^2Q_{n-1} & \frac{\lambda^4}{2} - \lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2} - Q_nR_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.64}$$

The above examples are local equations. There are nonlocal equations obtained from the reduction (5.28). The simplest nontrivial example is

$$(Q_n(t))_t = Q_{n+1}(t) - Q_n(t) \pm Q_n^2(t)Q_n(-t). \tag{5.65}$$

Its Lax pair is given by (1.3) and $\Theta_{n,t} = N\Theta_n$ with N in 5.56 and constraint $R_{n-j}(t) = \mp Q_{n+j}(-t)$.

6 Conclusions

The spectral problem (1.3) can generate a sdAKNS hierarchy. It is also a Darboux transformation of the ZS-AKNS spectral problem (1.1). By revisiting it, we have shown that it is gauge equivalent to (1.4) which provides a bidirectional discretization of (1.1), while the AL spectral problem (1.2) comes from a monodirectional discretization of (1.1). As a relation with higher dimensional systems, we proved that (1.3) and a related sdAKNS hierarchy can be obtained from the Lax triads of the D$^2\Delta$KP hierarchy via symmetry constraint (4.11). This fact, on one side, agrees with the continuous case [12, 14]. On the other side, it exhibits a new aspect of discrete systems: there are two discrete spectral problems, (1.2) and (1.3) which can generate sdAKNS hierarchy, but only (1.3) that is a bidirectional discretisation of the ZS-AKNS spectral problem is related to the symmetry constraint of the D$^2\Delta$KP hierarchy. In addition to the above results, three sdAKNS hierarchies (5.20), (5.21) and (5.22) are obtained with a criteria that the corresponding flows are closed w.r.t. Lie product (2.4) and in continuum limit they approach to the continuous AKNS hierarchy. Among these sdAKNS hierarchies, $\{U_{n,t_j} = \bar{K}_j^{(+)}\}$ is the one derived from Lax triad of the D$^2\Delta$KP hierarchy via the symmetry constraint. We also developed a technique to show that the three isospectral sdAKNS hierarchies admit nonlocal reductions. As a result, several integrable scalar nonlinear equations were listed in Sec.5.5.

With regard to the symmetry-constrainted spectral problem (3.6), in [43] a spectral problem

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}\phi_n = \xi\phi_n, \quad \widehat{\mathfrak{L}} = \Delta + Q_n\Delta^{-1}R_n \tag{6.1}$$

was given as a constrain of $\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}\phi_n = \xi\phi_n,\ \widehat{\mathfrak{L}} = \Delta + u_{1,n}\Delta^{-1} + u_{2,n}\Delta^{-1} + u_{2,n}\Delta^{-1} + \cdots$, and the related equations were investigated (e.g. [44]). However, since the Δ^0 term $u_{0,n}$ in $\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}$ is absent, it is hard to say that (6.1) and its related $(1+1)$-dimensional differential-difference equations have something with the D$^2\Delta$KP hierarchy (4.8).

As for nonlocal reductions, recently integrable continuous and semidiscrete nonlocal NLS equations describing wave propagation in nonlinear PT symmetric media were found [45, 46]. Those two models have drown much attention. The reductions used to derive continuous and discrete nonlocal NLS equations are respectively $r(x,t) = \mp q^*(-x,t)$ and $R_n = \pm Q^*_{-n}$, which are different from our (5.27) and (5.28). Besides, note that the scalar equations obtained from (5.27) are not nonlocal. Equations obtained from (5.28) are nonlocal w.r.t. time. Such kinds of continuous equations with nonlocal time are also mentioned in [47] with new mathematical treatment, in a review paper [48] and in [49] from a new viewpoint.

There are several interesting problems that could be followed. Apart from continuous correspondence of integrability properties of the new sdAKNS hierarchies, new dynamical features and possible mathematical treatment of those equations obtained from nonlocal reductions (5.27) and (5.28) will be interesting. Besides, there would be many interactions between the (1+1) and (2+1)-dimensional systems based on symmetry constraints, such as solutions and integrability characteristics (e.g.[12, 13, 39, 50] in continuous case). Some known results related to the pseudo-difference operator (4.1) (e.g. [51, 52]) could be used to investigate the sdAKNS hierarchies. In addition, as for reductions, we find that the nonlocal reductions (5.27) and (5.28) are also available to the AL hierarchy and the resulted sdAKNS hierarchies (see Appendix).

Acknowledgments

This project is supported by the NSF of China (Nos.11371241 and 11631007).

A The sdAKNS hierarchies from the AL spectral problem

From the AL spectral problem (1.2) one can derive the AL hierarchy (cf.[7]):

$$U_{t_s} = K_s = \bar{L}^s K_0, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{A.1}$$

where K_0 and the first few flows are

$$K_0 = \begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ -R_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_1 = \bar{\mu}_n \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n+1} \\ -R_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_{-1} = \bar{\mu}_n \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n-1} \\ -R_{n+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

the recursion operator reads

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{L} = &\begin{pmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & E^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -Q_n E \\ R_n \end{pmatrix} \Delta^{-1}(R_n E, Q_n E^{-1}) \\ &+ \bar{\mu}_n \begin{pmatrix} -E Q_n \\ R_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \Delta^{-1}(R_n, Q_n) \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}_n}, \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

with its inverse

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{L}^{-1} = &\begin{pmatrix} E^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} Q_n \\ -R_n E \end{pmatrix} \Delta^{-1}(R_n E^{-1}, Q_n E) \\ &+ \bar{\mu}_n \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n-1} \\ -E R_n \end{pmatrix} \Delta^{-1}(R_n, Q_n) \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}_n}, \end{aligned}$$

and here $\bar{\mu}_n = 1 - Q_n R_n$. Under the continuum limit scheme (5.15), one can find

$$\bar{L} = I + \epsilon L_{AKNS} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} L^2_{AKNS} + O(\epsilon^3),$$

where L_{AKNS} is given in (5.18).

There are also three sdAKNS hierarchies related to the AL spectral problem:

$$\mathrm{I}:\{U_{n,t_s}=\bar{K}_s^{(+)}\},\quad \mathrm{II}:\{U_{n,t_s}=\bar{K}_s^{(-)}\},\quad \mathrm{III}:\{U_{n,t_s}=\bar{K}_s\},\tag{A.3}$$

where

$$\bar{K}_s^{(+)}=(\bar{\mathcal{L}}^{(+)})^sK_0,\tag{A.4}$$

$$\bar{K}_s^{(-)}=(\bar{\mathcal{L}}^{(-)})^sK_0,\tag{A.5}$$

$$\bar{K}_{2s+1}=\bar{\mathcal{L}}^s(K_1-K_{-1})/2,\quad \bar{K}_{2s}=\mathcal{L}^sK_0,\quad s=0,1,2,\cdots,\tag{A.6}$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{L}}=\bar{L}-2I+\bar{L}^{-1},\quad \bar{\mathcal{L}}^{(+)}=\bar{L}-I,\quad \bar{\mathcal{L}}^{(-)}=I-\bar{L}^{-1}.\tag{A.7}$$

The third sdAKNS hierarchy has been well studied and it admits one-field reduction to get sdKdV, sdmKdV and sdNLS hierarchies. As a review one can refer to [7].

It is interesting that the AL hierarchy (A.1) admit nonlocal one-field reductions (5.27) and (5.28) as well, and such properties can be extended to the sdAKNS hierarchies (A.3). As examples we list the following scalar integrable semidiscrete equations:

$$iQ_{n,t}=Q_{n+1}-Q_n\mp|Q_n|^2Q_{n+1},\tag{A.8}$$

$$iQ_{n,t}=Q_n-Q_{n-1}\pm|Q_n|^2Q_{n-1},\tag{A.9}$$

$$(Q_n(t))_t=Q_{n+1}(t)-Q_n(t)\mp Q_n(t)Q_n(-t)Q_{n+1}(t),\tag{A.10}$$

$$(Q_n(t))_t=Q_n(t)-Q_{n-1}(t)\pm Q_n(t)Q_n(-t)Q_{n-1}(t).\tag{A.11}$$

References

[1] V.E. Zakharnov, A.B. Shabat, Exact theory of two-dimensional self-focusing and one-dimensional self-modulating waves in nonlinear media, Sov. Phys. JETP, 34 (1972) 62-9.

[2] M.J. Ablowitz, D.J. Kaup, A.C. Newell, H. Segur, Nonlinear-evolution equations of physical significance, Phys. Rev. Lett., 31 (1973) 125-7.

[3] M.J. Ablowitz, J.F. Ladik, Nonlinear differential-difference equations, J. Math. Phys., 16 (1975) 598-603.

[4] M.J. Ablowitz, J.F. Ladik, Nonlinear differential-difference equations and Fourier analysis, J. Math. Phys., 17 (1976) 1011-8.

[5] D.J. Zhang, S.T. Chen, Symmetries for the Ablowitz-Ladik hierarchy: Part I. Four-potential case, Stud. Appl. Math., 125 (2010) 393-418.

[6] D.J. Zhang, S.T. Chen, Symmetries for the Ablowitz-Ladik hierarchy: Part II. Integrable discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations and discrete AKNS hierarchy, Stud. Appl. Math., 125 (2010) 419-43.

[7] W. Fu, Z.J. Qiao, J.W. Sun, D.J. Zhang, The semi-discrete AKNS system: Conservation laws, reductions and continuum limits, arXiv: 1307.3671.

[8] O. Ragnisco, G. Z. Tu, A new hierarchy of integrable discrete systems, preprint, Dept. of Phys., University of Rome, 1989.

[9] H.W. Zhang, G.Z. Tu, W. Oevel, B. Fuchssteiner, Symmetries, conserved quantities, and hierarchies for some lattice systems with soliton structure, J. Math. Phys., 32 (1991) 1908-18.

[10] I. Merola, O. Ragnisco, G.Z. Tu, A novel hierarchy of integrable lattices, Inverse Problems, 10 (1994) 1315-34.

[11] B. Konopelchenko, J. Sidorenko, W. Strampp, (1+1)-dimensional integrable systems as symmetry constraints of (2+1)-dimensional systems, Phys. Lett. A, 157 (1991) 17-21.

[12] B. Konopelchenko, W. Strampp, The AKNS hierarchy as symmetry constraint of the KP hierarchy, Inverse Problems, 7 (1991) L17-24.

[13] Y. Cheng, Y.S. Li, The constraint of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation and its special solutions, Phys. Lett. A, 157 (1991) 22-6.

[14] Y. Cheng, Y.S. Li, Constraints of the 2+1 dimensional integrable soliton systems, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 25 (1992) 419-31.

[15] E. Date, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Method of generating discrete soliton equations II, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., 51 (1982) 4125-31.

[16] S. Kanaga Vel, K.M. Tamizhmani, Lax pairs, symmetries and conservation laws of a differential-difference equation — Sato's approach, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 8 (1997) 917-31.

[17] D. Levi, R. Benguria, Bäcklund transformations and nonlinear differential difference equations, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 77 (1980) 5025-7.

[18] D. Levi, Nonlinear differential difference equations as Bäcklund transformations, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 14 (1981) 1083-98.

[19] C.W. Cao, G.Y. Zhang, Lax pairs for discrete integrable equations via Darboux transformations, Chin. Phys. Lett., 29 (2012) No.50202 (2pp).

[20] C.W. Cao, G.Y. Zhang, Integrable symplectic maps associated with the ZS-AKNS spectral problem, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., 45 (2012) No.265201 (15pp).

[21] F. Khanizadeh, A.V. Mikhailov, J.P. Wang, Darboux transformations and recursion operators for differential-difference equations, Theore. Math. Phys., 177 (2013) 387-440.

[22] A.V. Mikhailov, Formal diagonalisation of the Lax-Darboux scheme and conservation laws of integrable partial differential, differential-difference and partial difference equations, http://www.newton.ac.uk/files/seminar/20130711140014301-153657.pdf .

[23] V.E. Adler, R.I. Yamilov, Explicit auto-transformations of integrable chains, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 27 (1994) 477-92.

[24] B. Fuchssteiner, A.S. Fokas, Symplectic structures, their Bäcklund transformations and hereditary symmetries, Physica D, 4 (1981) 47-66.

[25] B. Fuchssteiner, Hamiltonian structure and integrability, Math. Sci. Eng., 185 (1991) 211-56.

[26] E.G. Fan, Z.H. Yang, A lattice hierarchy with a free function and its reductions to the Ablowitz-Ladik and Volterra hierarchies, Int. J. Theor. Phys., 48 (2009) 1-9.

[27] S.F. Tian, F.B. Zhou, S.W Zhou, T.T. Zhang, Analytic solutions and Darboux transformation to a new Hamiltonian lattice hierarchy, Mod. Phys. Lett. B, 30 (2016) No.1650100 (12pp).

[28] H.H Dong, Y.Z. Zhang, X.E. Zhang, The new integrable symplectic map and the symmetry of integrable nonlinear lattice equation, Commun. Nonl. Sci. Numer. Simulat., 36 (2016) 354-65.

[29] H.X. Yang, X.X. Xu, H.Y. Ding, New hierarchies of integrable positive and negative lattice models and Darboux transformation, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 26 (2005) 1091-103.

[30] H.Y. Ding, X.X. Xu, H.X. Yang, X. Tian, A new hierarchy of discrete integrable model and its Darboux transformation, Mod. Phys. Lett. B, 21 (2007) 189-97.

[31] Z.Y. Qin, A generalized Ablowitz-Ladik hierarchy, multi-Hamiltonian structure and Darboux transformation, J. Math. Phys., 49 (2008) No.063505 (14pp).

[32] X.B. Wu, W.G. Rui, X.C. Hong, A new discrete integrable system derived from a generalized Ablowitz-Ladik hierarchy and its Darboux transformation, Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc., 2012 (2012) No.652076 (19pp).

[33] H.M. Li, Y.Q. Li, Y. Chen, An integrable discrete generalized nonlinear Schrödinger equation and its reductions, Commun. Theor. Phys., 62 (2014) 641-8.

[34] W. Fu, L. Huang, K.M. Tamizhmani, D.J. Zhang, Integrable properties of the differential-difference Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy and continuum limits, Nonlinearity, 26 (2013) 3197-229.

[35] C.W. Cao, D.J. Zhang, X.X. Xu, On the lattice potential KP equation, preprint, 2016.

[36] J.P. Cheng, M.H. Li, J.S. He, The Virasoro action on the tau function for the constrained discrete KP hierarchy, J. Nonl. Math. Phys., 20 (2013) 529-38.

[37] D.Y. Chen, Introduction to Soliton Theory (in Chinese), Sci. Pub. House, Beijing, 2006.

[38] Y.Q. Yao, X.J. Liu, Y.B. Zeng, A new extended discrete KP hierarchy and a generalized dressing method, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., 42 (2009) No.454026 (10pp).

[39] W. Oevel, Darboux theorems and Wronskian formulas for integrable systems I: Constrainted KP flows, Physica A, 195 (1993) 533-76.

[40] C.Z. Li, J.P. Cheng, K.L. Tian, M.H. Li, J.S. He, Ghost symmetry of the discrete KP hierarchy, Monatsh. Math., 180 (2016) 815-32. (arXiv: 1201.4419).

[41] J. Matsukidaira, J. Satsuma, W. Strampp, Conserved quantities and symmetries of KP hierarchy, J. Math. Phys., 31 (1990) 1426-34.

[42] D.J. Zhang, T.K. Ning, J.B. Bi, D.Y. Chen, New symmetries for the Ablowitz-Ladik hierarchies, Phys. Lett. A, 359 (2006), 458-66.

[43] M.H. Li, C.Z. Li, K.L. Tian, J.S. He, Y. Cheng, Virasoro type algebraic structure hidden in the constrained discrete Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy, J. Math. Phys., 54 (2013) No.043512 (11pp).

[44] M.H. Li, J.P. Cheng, J.S. He, The gauge transformation of the constrained semi-discrete KP hierarchy, Mod. Phys. Lett. B, 27 (2013), No.1350043 (13pp).

[45] M.J. Ablowitz, Z.H. Musslimani, Integrable nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Phys. Rev. Lett., 110 (2013) No.064105 (5pp).

[46] M.J. Ablowitz, Z.H. Musslimani, Integrable discrete PT symmetric model, Phys. Rev. E, 90 (2014) No.032912 (5pp).

[47] M.J. Ablowitz, Z.H. Musslimani, Inverse scattering transform for the integrable nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Nonlinearity, 29 (2016) 915-46.

[48] M.J. Ablowitz, Z.H. Musslimani, Integrable nonlocal nonlinear equations, arXiv:1610.02594 (to appear in Stud. Appl. Math.).

[49] S.Y. Lou, Alice-Bob systems, P_s-T_d-C principles and multi-soliton solutions, arXiv: 1603.03975.

[50] J. Sidorenko, W. Strampp, Symmetry constraints of the KP hierarchy, Inverse Problems, 7 (1991) L37-43.

[51] L. Haine, P. Iliev, Commutative rings of difference operators and an adelic flag manifold, Int. Math. Res. Notices, 2000 (2000) 281-323.

[52] S.W. Liu, Y. Cheng, Sato's Bäcklund transformations, additional symmetries and ASvM formula for the discrete KP hierarchy, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., 43 (2010) No.135202 (11pp).